

Stryker's Pioneer Pressure Redistributing Stretcher Surface is the First of Its Kind for Emergency Departments

Situation

Emergency department visits in the US grew by 26 percent between 1993 and 2003.¹ Increased patient volume is a root cause for many challenges that hospitals face today. One challenge that has become a rising concern is increased length of stay for patients. As a result, patients are often “boarded” for 48 hours or more.² During a patient’s waiting period, there are many factors that must be considered to ensure the patient’s health does not deteriorate. One major factor is the integrity of the patient’s skin. Fortunately, there is a variety of advanced wound care surfaces for hospital beds. However, there is a gap in advanced surface availability for areas of the hospital that utilize stretchers for the patient platform.

Rationale


As an industry leader in providing best-in-class stretcher products, Stryker Medical recognized the need for an advanced pressure redistribution surface for stretchers. Focusing on improved patient outcomes, Stryker developed Pioneer, a stretcher surface that redistributes pressure through a series of eleven air bladders reinforced with dual-density foam support. The surface provides superior pressure management for the patient from head to toe. Pioneer is the first product of its kind that allows hospitals to provide a continuum of preventative wound care from the moment a patient is admitted to the time he or she is discharged.

Methodology

A third-party testing facility conducted interface pressure mapping using an XSENSOR™ pressure mapping system on three stretcher surfaces (sample identification listed below). The surfaces were placed onto a sturdy laboratory table and an average size male measuring 5'6" tall and weighing 180 pounds was used for the analysis in three critical zones: scapula, sacrum and heel. The subject was dressed in an appropriate size cotton sweat suit with no shoes to ensure optimum contact with the full surface sensor matrix.

Results

Pressure Mapping



Conclusion

Pioneer has the lowest tissue interface pressure (TIP) averages in the three zones measured. In fact, Pioneer reduces the pressure on a patient’s skin by more than 55 percent in every zone when compared to a 3-inch vinyl mattress. A comparison of the TIP averages and overall pressure mapping results clearly displays the clinical benefits of Stryker’s Pioneer stretcher surface over other available stretcher surface options.

This document is intended solely for the use of healthcare professionals.

A surgeon must always rely on his or her own professional clinical judgment when deciding whether to use a particular product when treating a particular patient. Stryker does not dispense medical advice and recommends that surgeons be trained in the use of any particular product before using it in surgery.

The information presented is intended to demonstrate the breadth of Stryker product offerings. A surgeon must always refer to the package insert, product label and/or instructions for use before using any Stryker product.

Products may not be available in all markets because product availability is subject to the regulatory and/or medical practices in individual markets. Please contact your Stryker representative if you have questions about the availability of Stryker products in your area.

Stryker Corporation or its divisions or other corporate affiliated entities own, use or have applied for the following trademarks or service marks: **Pioneer**, **Stryker**. All other trademarks are trademarks of their respective owners or holders.



Literature Number: MTX164111606 Rev A
MTX/GS 04/09

Copyright © 2009 Stryker



Sample Identification

- One Pioneer pressure redistribution surface (76" x 26" x 5")
- One 5-inch polyurethane, dual-density foam surface (76" x 26" x 5")
- One 3-inch standard vinyl and foam surface (75.5" x 26" x 3")

Tissue Interface Pressure (TIP) Averages (mmHg)

	Pioneer	5-inch Polyurethane	3-inch Vinyl
Scapula	19	28	33
Sacrum	23	44	42
Heel	23	35	42

1. “The Future of Emergency Care: Key Findings and Recommendations.” **Future of Emergency Care Report Series (2006)**, 5 Dec. 2006 <http://ena.org/future/IOM/IOM_FactSheet.pdf>.

2. Ibid.